there is increase in voters' list in some exclusive and: specific constituencies of the Capital; and

(c) if so, what effective and instant steps are under consideration of Government to weed out illegal foreign elements and bogus voters from the voters' lists for the coming elections?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, . JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) No information in this regard is available with the Electoral Registration Authorities in Delhi.

(b) Foreign Nationals are not eligible for inclusion in the electoral rolls and, therefore, the question of increase in number of voters in the Voters' list due to inclusion of foreign nationals does not arise. Generally, there, however,, has been increase in the number, of electors in all the Assembly Constituencies of Delhi.

(c) Revision of electoral rolls is a continuous process and during every intensive revision of electoral rolls, special care is. taken .to ensure *that* only eligible persons are enrolled as electors and no ineligible person is enrolled. Further, whenever, any case of an ineligible' person' being included in the electoral roll is detected, action is taken in accordance with the law to delete it.

Ms Indodan Indutries Ltd.

8246. SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that M/s. Indodan Industries Ltd. [Foremost (India) Ltd.] has represented to Government to declare them as a sick unit;

(b) whether Government are also aware that this Company has taken loan from banks. public financial institutions and general public and to avoid repayment to these institutions and general public they are requesting Government to declare them as a sick unit; and

(c) if so, the action being taken or proposed to be taken by Government to save the misuse o'f public money by this Company?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (c)' Yes, Sir- However, M/s. Indodan Industries Limited and M/s. Foremost Indiis-tries (India) Limited are two separate companies. The Company has been declared as a sick industrial comcany by the Board for Industrial & Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) within the meaning of Section 3(1)(o) of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985. The Company Law Board (Western Region Bench) on the basis of petitions presented to it have passed orders for repayment of deposits alongwith interest by M/s. Indodan Industries Limited. Since the company did not comply with the orders of the Company Law Board (Western Region Bench), complaints have been filed by the Registrar of Companies, Bombay under section 58-AflO) of the Companies Act, 1956 against the company and six directors in the Court of Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Bombay in March 1994.

Action taken againsit companies

8247. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the cases where SEBI and Department of Company Affairs have taken action against companies during the last three years:

(b) the details of each case where extreme action was taken;

(c) how many companies have been debarred from raising share capital and fixed term loans year-wise;

(d) in how many cases, fixed deposits and share capital has been refunded to depositors and share subscribers; and

(e) the penalty imposed on each such company?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (e) The information relating to action taken by the Department of Company Affairs, as available for the years 1991-92, 1992-93 & 1993-94 is given in the statement *(See* below). The action taken by SEBI is given in the statement *{See* below).

SEBI cannot debar any company from raising share capital provided the issue meets the requirements of law and SEBI guidelines. Fixed term loans do not come under the purview *dt* SEBI. The Department of Com-pany Affairs does not have the powers to debar companies from raising share capital and fixed term loans. However, it is proposed in the Companies Bill, 1993, to debar a company, which has failed to repay any deposit or any part thereof or interest thereon, from acceding further deposits till the default is made good.

In case of recent issue of Ms Shoes East Ltd., the amount received by way of application money from all investors (who did not withdraw their applications earlier) has been refunded by Bank of Baroda, who acted as refund bankers, as per the advice of SEBI.

Under Section 58A(9) df the Companies Act, 1956, the Company Law Board may order repayment of deposits or part thereof within a stipulated time frame. The details re-garding the number of cases processed by the Company Law Board during the last three years are given in the statement *(See below).*

Statement-I

Action taken by the Department of Company Affairs against erring companies

S.No.	Subject	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94
1.	(a) Number of Companies Prosecuted during the Year	1.664	1.087	1.981
	(b) Number of prosecutions started during the year .	5.881	7.120	8.780
2.	Number of prosecutions ending in convictions . .	3.392	3.933	4.056
3.	Total fine Imposed (in lakhs rupees)	27.95	37.49	42.12
4.	Average fine imposed per case ending in conviction(in Rupees)	824	953	1.040

Statement-II

Action taken by SEBI during 1994-95 *against erring companies under the provisions of the Companies Act,* 1956

I. PROSECUTIONS HAVE BEEN FIELD IN RESPECT OF THE FOLLOWING FOUR COMPANIES

S.No.	Name of the Company
1.	M/s. West Coast Brewers and Distillers Ltd.
2.	M/s. Supriya Pharmaceuticals Ltd.
3.	M/s. Premier Plantations Ltd.
4.	M/s. Ready Foods Ltd.

1I. SHOW CAUSE NOTICES HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE FOLLOWING FOUR COMPANIES

S.No.	Name of the Company
1.	M/s. Ashi Pharmachem Ltd.
2.	M/s. Singh Alloys and Steel Ltd.
3.	M/s. Vatsa Industries Ltd.
4.	M/s. MS Shoes East Ltd.

Statement-III

Number of (applications processed bv the Company Law Board under section 58 A(9) *of the Companies Act,* 1956

Subject	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94
No. of applications disposed off	12.024	2.047	1.473

Issuance of Photo I-cards ta voters

8248. SHRI SAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Photo Identity Cards have been issued to all the voters in the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof, state-wise;

(c) whether Government have issued directions to the state Govern ment including Delhi and Rajasthan to complete the work before the com mencement of the next General Elec tions in the country; and

(d) by when Photo Identity Cards are likely to be made available to all the voters in Delhi and Rajasthan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) and (d) No, Sir. The Election Commission has, however, indicated that subject to court orders, it wiU insist on completion of issue of identity card work in all States and UTs before the next general election to Lok Sabha. The Offices of Chief Electoral Officer of Delhi and Rajasthan have also informed that photo identity cards to those electors who have got themselves photograhped so far are likely to be made available to them before 30th June, 1995 and by the end of August, 1995, respect lively.

Complaints against M/s. Dynamatic Forgins India Limited, Bombay

8249. DR. RANBIR SINGH:
SHRI RAM NATH KOVIND:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 359 complaints have been received from investors against M/s. Dynamatic Focrgings India Limited, Bombay for non-payment of matured amount of dobentures and interest thereon;

(b) if so, whether the Registrar of Companies, Bombay has initiated any action against the said company;